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ABSTRACT
This paper addresses the major challenges that large organizations face in protecting their valuable data. Some of these challenges include recovery objectives, data explosion, cost and the nature of data. The paper explores multiple methods of data protection at different storage levels. RAID disk arrays, snapshot technology, storage mirroring, and backup and archive strategies all are methods used by many large organizations to protect their data. The paper surveys several different enterprise-level backup and archive solutions in the market today and evaluates each solution based on certain criteria. The evaluation criteria cover all business needs and help to tackle the key issues related to data protection. Finally, this paper provides insight on data protection mechanisms and proposes guidelines that help organizations to choose the best backup and archive solutions.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In any organization, the requirement to store digital data has been growing exponentially year after year. To cope with this increasing data requirement, larger amounts of bigger and faster storage devices need to be installed in data centers around the world. The downside with having more hardware installed is that it also increases the chance of losing data due to user and hardware error or malfunction. Losing data can be costly for organizations both legally and financially. Below are some statistics that show the potential results from losing data:

- The cost associated with lost data for the energy business is $2.8 million of lost revenue per hour. [1]
- The cost of recreating just 20 MB of engineering data is 42 days and $98,000. [2]
- In less than a year after they faced a major data loss, 70 percent of small companies stop business permanently. [2]
- Among companies that lost data in 2012, only 33 percent were able to recover 100 percent of their data. [3]
To avoid such impacts, a successful data protection strategy has to keep data available and accessible against possible losses caused for any reason. In fact, data loss can happen for different reasons, including:

- Hardware or system malfunctions, such as power failure, media crash and controller failure
- Human errors, such as accidental deletion of files or physical damage caused by dropping storage devices
- Software corruption, including software bugs and software crashes while editing
- Computer viruses and malware
- Natural disasters, such as earthquakes, floods and fires

The chart below shows the percentage of data loss incidents due to each leading cause according to Kroll Ontrack Inc. [1]

The next sections cover, in detail, the availability and recoverability aspects of data protection solutions at the enterprise level.

2. DATA RECOVERY CHALLENGES

Today, large organizations face challenges when they plan and implement data recovery solutions. These challenges could make the recovery of data in the event of data loss more difficult. As a result, it is important to understand and address these challenges before implementing a data protection strategy. Major challenges are listed and explained below:

2.1. Recovery Objectives

The recovery time objective (RTO) and recovery point objective (RPO) are two critical business concepts related to data recovery. RTO is the maximum time period by which the data must be restored after a data loss event. On the other hand, RPO is defined as a point in time prior to a
data loss event where data can be restored. Each organization has its own RTO and RPO that should be defined clearly and carefully based on the business needs and regulatory compliance requirements. Meeting recovery objectives is one of the challenges that faces data protection solutions.

**2.2. Data Explosion**

As the volumes of data continue to grow exponentially, the scalability and performance of data protection solutions become significant challenges. The backup and restore system has to have enough performance to meet the recovery objectives. It also has to be able to scale out to encounter future data expansion.

**2.3. Cost**

The cost associated with data protection is one of the greatest challenges. The total cost of ownership of the backup and recovery infrastructure and its operational cost are proportional to the amount of data to be protected. As a result, the data protection solution should be cost-effective while maintaining the recovery objectives.

**2.4. Nature of Data**

Enterprise organizations usually have different types of data that reside on heterogeneous systems. Structured data, such as databases, requires backup and recovery technologies that are different from those used with unstructured data, such as images and videos. The change rate of data affects the frequency of its backup. With equal total size, a large number of small files has more of an impact on the performance of backup and recovery systems than a small number of large files. Therefore, different types of data require different backup and recovery technologies, which make data protection a challenge.

**3. LEVELS OF DATA PROTECTION**

To address the aforementioned challenges, enterprises implement data protection at different levels. Data protection technologies use the principle of redundancy to prevent a total loss of data by creating another copy of it [4].

The most common technologies of data protection are explained below.

**3.1. RAID Disk Arrays**

Redundant array of inexpensive/independent, disks (RAID) is a storage technology that combines multiple disks, known as a RAID set, and presents the disks as a single logical disk. [5] With this technique, data spread across the RAID set enhances reliability and increases I/O performance. [5] Different architectures of RAID exist to provide different levels of fault tolerance and performance. The most common levels of RAID include RAID 0, RAID 1, RAID 5, and RAID 10. [6]

RAID is perfect for protecting against hardware failures but cannot protect against other types of risks such as human errors, software corruption, malware, virus attacks and natural disasters.
3.2. Snapshot Technology

Snapshot technology is an instance copy of a defined collection of data—a set of files, directories, or volumes—at a particular point in time. [7] Apparently, a snapshot provides another level of redundancy. Different storage vendors offer various implementations of snapshot. The most popular snapshot implementations are copy-on-write, redirect-on-write, split-mirror, log structure file architecture, copy-on-write with background copy and continuous data protection. [8]

Snapshots provide protection against human errors, software corruption, malware and virus attacks but still cannot protect against natural disasters.

3.3. Storage Mirroring (Replication)

As mentioned above, RAID and snapshot cannot protect data against natural disasters. Here is where storage mirroring, also known as replication, comes in handy. Today, many storage manufacturers offer replication solutions, which can be used for disaster recovery. Typically, replication solutions copy data from the primary storage system over long distances to another storage system. [4] With this technique, an up-to-date copy of the data is maintained at a remote site in case the primary copy is lost. Replication solutions operate in either synchronous or asynchronous mode. With synchronous replication, data is written to the primary and secondary storage systems at the same time. [9] Asynchronous replication, on the other hand, writes data to the secondary storage system with a delay. [9]

3.4 Backup and Archive Strategies

Although storage replication enables business continuity in case one site is lost, it introduces extra overheads on writing. Also, it requires more disk space, which needs more power and space. Most enterprises implement backing up and archiving to cheaper media, mainly tapes, to keep data protected and, at the same time, lower associated cost.

The backup process creates a redundant copy of the original data on a different location, or storage media, for the purpose of recovery in case of data loss. The most common types of backup are full and incremental backup. With full backup, the entire file system is copied to the backup destination. It allows fast recovery of the file system in case it becomes inaccessible. Backing up the entire file system is slow and requires more backup media. Incremental backup, on the other hand, provides faster backup with less capacity by copying only files that are created or modified since the last backup. [10]

Archiving is the process of moving a selected collection of data, usually inactive, to another storage system for long-term retention. Archives are kept for long periods of time to meet regulatory compliance and/or for future reference.

Backup and archive play a major role in almost every data protection plan. Today, most backup systems also provide archive capabilities. Large organizations typically use low-price disks or tapes as the destination storage system for their backups and archives to reduce the cost. It has always been a good practice to send the backup/archive media to a remote place as a part of the disaster recovery plan.

The table below summarizes the possible data recovery methods to protect against each type of data loss threat:
Table 1. Recommended Data Recovery Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Loss Cause</th>
<th>Data Recovery Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hardware or system malfunctions</td>
<td>RAID, storage mirroring (replication), backup and archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human errors</td>
<td>Snapshots, backup and archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software corruption</td>
<td>Snapshots, backup and archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer viruses and malware</td>
<td>Snapshots, backup and archive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural disasters</td>
<td>Storage mirroring (replication), backup and archive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. EVALUATING BACKUP AND ARCHIVE SOLUTIONS

Backup and archive strategies are the most common data recovery methods used by most enterprises. Therefore, in this section, we propose different criteria to help enterprises choose backup and archive solutions that meet their requirements. Then, we see how the EXPEC Computer Center at Saudi Aramco Oil Company used these criteria to evaluate some of the popular backup and archive systems available in the market. These systems are IBM Tivoli Storage Manager (TSM), CommVault® Simpana, and Interica Intelligent Data Store (IDS).

We have identified 18 criteria that cover every aspect of data backup and recovery. The importance of each criterion varies from one organization to another depending on its requirements. These criteria are:

4.1. Architecture

Different backup and archive solutions have different architecture. Certainly, the system architecture has an impact on its performance, scalability, reliability and other features.

In one-tier architecture, all components of the system exist on a single server. The advantage of using a one-tier architecture backup and archive system is its simplicity and ease of management. The scalability and overall performance of this type of architecture does not help large organizations meet their backup and archive requirements. Two-tier architecture consists mainly of clients and a server residing on different hosts. In this type of backup and archive system, the client moves backup or archive data to the server and the server only keeps track of metadata. Client-server systems have the advantage of flexibility and can provide better performance, yet they have the single server bottleneck. Three-tier architecture, on the other hand, involves clients, a server and data movers. Clients send their backup or archive data to the data movers, which move them to the backup storage. The role of the server is just to monitor the whole backup and archive environment and to execute some administrative tasks. This architecture delivers better performance and more scalability but it might increase the complexity of the solution. Sharing resources like tape drives or the host memory in three-tier architecture requires more effort.

Interica IDS, by itself, is a single-tier backup and archive system, which provides centralized tape storage management. Because of its architecture, IDS cannot scale very well to be able to protect a complicated environment with large storage capacity. IBM TSM can be configured to operate as a two-tier system or a three-tier system. But, even with three-tier configuration, the TSM server has to do more of the tasks such as generating a second copy of the backup or archive, migrating data from one storage pool to another, and maintaining the system database. CommVault® Simpana provides a clear three-tier solution where its data movers transfer data from clients to...
backup storage. Its server is just responsible for monitoring the entire environment and collecting statistics and reporting about it.

4.2. Scalability

The backup and archive solution has to be scalable enough to meet the continuing growth of data. As mentioned before, the architecture of the solution has a direct impact on its scalability. In addition, the internal structure of the software and its associated database affects solution scalability. Our evaluation shows that both TSM and Simpana have better scalability over IDS.

4.3. Reliability

Is the solution highly available with no single point of failure? By looking into each component of the solution, you can pinpoint the possible cause of failure and, therefore, service disruption. Both TSM and Simpana support cluster configurations that provide automatic recoverability and increase availability. IDS does not have the concept of clustering, but it can manually fail over the server to another host and still point to the same database.

4.4. Performance

The overall performance of the solution should be sufficient to cover the RTO and RPO requirements. Two measurements can be used to evaluate the performance of the system: backup or archive speed (TB/hr) and restore speed (TB/hr). TSM, Simpana and IDS have no limits and can push to the maximum what the storage systems can deliver.

4.5. Supported operating systems

Each organization has its own preferable operating system. Therefore, it is important to know operating system platforms for which backup and archive solutions support every component. TSM is compatible with most operating systems including Linux, AIX and Windows. The data mover and client components of Simpana can run on all operating systems but the server component runs only on Windows. IDS runs only on Unix or Linux machines.

4.6. Simplicity

The backup and archive solution should be easy enough to implement and to manage. Losing data by itself is troublesome — the data recovery should not be. During the evaluation, we found that all solutions are not hard to deal with. Nevertheless, since TSM is a large system, it might be, for some administrators, more complicated than others.

4.7. Security

Data security is a critical feature in any backup and archive system. The system shall provide different levels of user access control such as administrator, operator and users. In some cases, integrating the operating system permissions and ownership with the backup system is necessary. Unlike TSM and Simpana, IDS, by itself, does not support any kind of security. It requires another product named PARS (Project Archive and Retrieval System) to solve the security issue.
4.8. Intelligence

Is the backup and archive system smart enough to fix damaged data in its backup storage? Is it capable of performing some data analysis and share the result with the administrator? All evaluated systems can fix damage within its storage media to a certain limit. Simpana goes further and enables data analysis, such as classification of data based on its access date, type, size and others.

4.9. Data Policy Management

Depending on the business requirements, particular data policies are needed. Examples of these policies include flexible data retention policy per dataset, ability to extend data retention on the fly, automatic data expiration process, automatic data or tape media replication feature for disaster recovery, and automatic media transcription. Our evaluation shows that Simpana software has a more flexible data policy management than the other systems.

4.10. Open Standards

Does the backup and archive solution support open standards? More specifically, does the system write data to tape media in open format readable by other applications? Can the system export and import data to/from an open format such as LTFS? Is the system capable of rebuilding the system from tape without any additional outside information? TSM and Simpana use proprietary formats unlike IDS, which uses an open format (tar). Only TSM can support LTFS. TSM also requires additional outside information to rebuild the system; Simpana and IDS do not depend on additional outside information for rebuilding the system.

4.11. Metadata Search Engine Capability

In many cases, especially for archives, a fast and reliable search engine capability is very helpful. Users can navigate through the command line interface (CLI) or Web interface to identify and retrieve any achieved data by searching one or more key fields in the database such as dataset name, size, tags and age. The evaluation shows clearly that Simpana is more capable in providing an efficient and flexible metadata search engine.

4.12. Tape Vault Management

Most large organizations use tape as their backup storage media. Usually, the organization maintains a large number of tape media that exceed the automated tape library capacity. As a result, the backup and archive solution has to be able to vault tapes for disaster recovery, and to track and report data on these tapes. Also, it has to track tape media outside the library and notify the operator console when there is a need to insert a tape into the tape library. Only Simpana has this capability as a built-in feature. TSM and IDS do not support this feature. But there are few products that can integrate with TSM to do the tape tracking management task.

4.13. Tape Operator Console

Besides the previous requirement, the solution shall provide a centralized tape operator console. The console can be a Web or GUI-based interface that provides real-time monitoring of solutions. The console should present helpful information to the operator such as the health status of the tape library, tape drives, online tape media, capacity (online/vaulted), tape drive activities (busy/idle), errors and alerts, and a list of tapes on the shelf. It also has to show actions waiting
4.14. Reporting

One of the important features of any enterprise solution is its reporting capability. In the backup and archive environment, the system shall be able to report the status of backup and archive jobs. It also has to generate reports about the health status of its storage systems, servers and clients. Customized reporting on data utilization per user group or class will also be useful. The evaluation shows that TSM and Simpana provide more advanced reports than IDS.

4.15. Support Services

Regardless of the level of expertise an organization has, the vendor should support the solution. In addition, having official documentation is necessary for any proposed solution. Large community support can also help system administrators to resolve related problems and come up with new ideas. Official training is required to build the administrator’s skills and expertise for the solution. Vendors of all evaluated systems provide professional services on their products. TSM has the oldest and largest community support. The community of Simpana is becoming larger and experienced. IDS software has weak community support. Regarding training, both TSM and Simpana have an excellent training path.

4.16. System Popularity

Some enterprises look for a solution that is more popular and used by a wide range of businesses. It provides an enterprise with more confidence and allows it to find needed resources easily and cost-effectively. Both TSM and Simpana are very popular backup and archive enterprise solutions. Fewer customers, mainly with oil and gas exploration and production business lines, use IDS as a project-based archival solution.

4.17. Other Features

Depending on business needs, different organizations might require other features. In some cases, supporting multiple automated tape libraries within the system domain is needed. Limitations on the number of files to manage or the maximum size of a single file might impact the selection of the system. Spanning a single large file over two or more tape media is important to consider if the environment has very large files. Unlike Simpana and IDS, TSM does not provide a virtualization of automated tape libraries to appear as a single library. All evaluated solutions do not have issues with spanning a single file over tape media. During the evaluation, all systems were able to backup 10TB files without failures.

4.18. Total Cost of Ownership

The capital and operational expenses of the backup and archive system are important factors when evaluating different solutions. It is also important to consider the license model of the solution (per TB, number of servers, number of hosts to backup or others), which indeed affects its cost in the long term. The key point here is to choose the most cost-effective and affordable solution that meets the minimum backup and archive requirements.
5. SUMMARY

The value of digital data in any organization has increased. Without successful data protection strategies, data loss can be costly to an organization. We discussed challenges that face data recovery. These challenges include recovery objectives, data explosion, associated costs, and the nature of data. Then, we reviewed the different technologies used by most enterprises to overcome these challenges at different levels. Such technologies are RAID disk arrays, snapshot technology, storage replication, and backup and archive strategies. Because backup and archive systems are used by most enterprises, we focused on this method. We proposed 18 criteria that cover every aspect of backup and archive systems. These criteria can be used by any organization as a template when weighing different backup and archive solutions on the market. Finally, we showed how the EXPEC Computer Center at Saudi Aramco used these criteria to evaluate three backup and archive systems: IBM Tivoli Storage Manager (TSM), CommVault® Simpana, and Interica Intelligent Data Store (IDS).
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