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ABSTRACT

Sequencing projects arising from high throughput technologies including those of sequencing DNA microarrays allowed to simultaneously measure the expression levels of millions of genes of a biological sample as well as annotate and identify the role (function) of those genes. Consequently, to better manage and organize this significant amount of information, bioinformatics approaches have been developed. These approaches provide a representation and a more 'relevant' integration of data in order to test and validate the hypothesis of researchers throughout the experimental cycle. In this context, this article describes and discusses some of techniques used for the functional analysis of gene expression data.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The successful developments of high throughput sequencing technology including those of sequencing DNA microarrays generated a large volume of genomic data. The massive data produced presents a significant challenge for data storage and analysis. In this case, bioinformatics tools are essential for data management.

This technology allows to measure the simultaneous expression of a large number of genes, or even all the genes contained in the genome under many and varied conditions. Also, it identifies the rate of gene expression (over or under expressed); characterization of genes differentially expressed; the establishment of a characteristic profile of a given biological state. Therefore, it provides to researchers the opportunity to study the coordinated behavior of genes and so better understanding the function of a gene in an experimental situation.
Thus, the transition of the genome sequencing to the annotated genome gave rise to methods, tools, and bioinformatics platforms, to help many areas of biology to manage and organize this mass of data. Some of these approaches using data mining have been developed to determine the similar expression profiles of genomic data. Others have used controlled vocabularies or ontologies to capture the semantics of biological concepts describing biological objects such as genomic sequences, genes or gene products. And some have combined the two above-mentioned approaches. All of this, providing biologists with a more "relevant" representation and data integration allowing them to analyze their genomic data, test and validate their assumption throughout the experimental cycle.

This article gives a comprehensive overview of the different approaches employed in the functional analysis of gene expression data. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: section two introduces the concept of the genomic annotation with its three levels of complexity. Section Three describes the different data mining techniques used in functional analysis of gene expression. The fourth section deals with the use of gene ontology to build a gene expression profile. And, finally, the fifth section provides some concluding remarks and gives an outlook for future works.

2. GENOME ANNOTATION

Definition and strategies for genome annotation

Genome annotation (or DNA annotation) is extraction, definition, and interpretation of features on the genome sequence derived by integrating computational tools and biological knowledge. An annotation is a note added by way of explanation or commentary. Once a genome is sequenced, it needs to be annotated to make sense of it. Annotation could be:

- gene products names
- functional characteristics of gene products
- physical characteristics of gene/protein/genome
- overall metabolic profile of the organism

For example, genome annotation is notably used by biologists for identification of different genes expressed in plants organs (root, leaf,...) during a cycle of development like the *Arabidopsis thaliana* plant [1,2], also it is used for identification of genes involved in the rice tolerance to salinity [1, 2] and possibly for the discovery of new functions by the association of genes with "known" genes based on the co-expressed and co-regulation in coral [3]. For the Drosophila, it was to determine the present/absent genes in neural flow and synaptic transmission routing [4]. For the mouse, the study consists of analyzing the over or under expression of genes across different genetic manipulation of embryos and adults and the effects of environmental conditions [5]. In medicine [6], it allows distinguishing and classifying types of tumors, knowing the genes expressed on a large number of patients to observe the effect of a drug (e.g. anti-cancer), examine the effect of a treatment on the expression of genes, to compare healthy tissue from diseased tissue, treated against untreated.
The process of annotation can be divided into three levels [7]:

- The syntactic or structural annotation it identifies sequences presenting a biological relevance (genes, signals, repetitions, etc).
- The functional annotation it predicts the potential functions of the previously identified genes (similarities of sequences, patterns, structures, etc) and collects any experimental information (literature, big data sets, etc).
- Relational or contextual annotation it determines the interactions between the biological objects (families of genes, regulatory networks, metabolic networks, etc).

Also, these different levels of annotation are not separated, but intermingle, and are very closely related. The genomic annotation is precisely to interconnect these three different levels [7].

In the next sections, we present methods and techniques using (i) data mining for identification of genes co-expressed in an analysis of expression data. (ii) Ontology (Gene Ontology (GO)) for data annotation and (iii) approaches that combine datamining and ontologies for functional analysis of gene expression data.

3. **FUNCTIONAL GENE EXPRESSION DATA ANALYSIS BY DATAMINING**

To answer the questions of biologists such as: are there clusters according to the genes expression profiles? What distinguishes these samples, these genes? Can we predict clusters, classifications? Datamining methods have been used to classify, aggregate and visualize these expression data.

Data mining is a process that is used to search through large amount of data in order to find useful information. Several data mining methodologies have been proposed to analyze large amounts of gene expression data. Most of these techniques can be broadly classified as cluster analysis and classification techniques. These techniques have been widely used to identify patterns expressions and co-expressed genes and to construct models able of predicting the behavior of genes. In this paper we focus on clustering, classification and association rule.

3.1. **Clustering Techniques**

Clustering has for objective to describe data independent of any a priori knowledge and to reduce the amount of data by categorizing or grouping similar data items together. To categories genes with similar functionality, various clustering methods are used:

- Hierarchical methods like agglomerative hierarchical clustering (AHC)
- Partitioned methods like K-means and C-fuzzy means,
- Model-based methods like self-organizing map (SOM)

Several works are considered to be the pioneers in this field [8, 9, 10]. Clustering was used on pharmacovigilance data [11] and in diagnosis of cancer [12]. Many comparative studies have been conducted to determine the most efficient clustering algorithm [13, 14, 15, and 16] but currently no consensus is established.
K-means method is used in various applications such as time-series yeast gene expression analysis [17] and the classification breast cancer subtypes [18]. However, in the real nature of biological data, a gene may be involved in several biological processes at once. Hence the use of the Fuzzy C-Means method [19] to give the possibility to a gene belonging to more than one expression profile at a time.

To conclude, firstly, we can say that clustering can work well when there is already a wealth of knowledge about the pathway in question, but it works less well when this knowledge is sparse [20]. And secondly several clustering algorithms have been proposed to analyze gene expression data. In general, there is no best clustering methods. They focus on models and characteristics of various data. Table 1, below shows a comparison of these techniques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Example of use</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-Means</td>
<td>Decomposes the data into a set of disjoint clusters that identifies subtasks of genes with similar behavior.</td>
<td>Used by [21] to determine the expression profile during seven periods of the cell cycle in yeast.</td>
<td>Relatively efficient; Easy implementation; Allows to obtain a mean profile for each class; Well suited to large data sets</td>
<td>Need to specify K, the number of clusters in advance; Sensitive to noisy data and outliers; Sensitive to start point; Genes are forced to belong only to 1 cluster; may not converge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchical clustering</td>
<td>Proceeds successively by either merging smaller clusters into larger ones, or by splitting larger clusters; it offers an intuitive visual distribution of the data</td>
<td>Used by [22] to classify and visualize dataset resulting from a proteomics analysis on species of pathogenic bacteria food-derived: Listeria monocytogenes and also used with the proteomics dataset to identify genes differentially expressed on salmonella in accordance with rat age</td>
<td>Does not require the number of clusters to be known in advance; No input parameters besides the choice of the similarity; Computes a complete hierarchy of clusters</td>
<td>Not scale well; runtime; No explicit clusters; No automatic discovering of optimal clusters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-organizing maps (SOM)</td>
<td>Partitioning experiments genes into a known number groups by association to nodes</td>
<td>Used by [23] to find groups of genes primarily involved in the differentiation mechanisms of erythrocytes</td>
<td>The position of the groups space reflects the degree similarity between data. Data projected in a same neighborhood have close profiles expression. Intensive to missing values</td>
<td>Need to specify the number of expected groups; The results depend on the chosen distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy C-means</td>
<td>Identifies genes pertaining to different regulatory clusters.</td>
<td>In [24], it provides a more interesting distribution of gene clusters compared to &quot;ordinary&quot; clustering methods when tested with melanoma and leukemia dataset.</td>
<td>Each gene can belong to multiple clusters.</td>
<td>No &quot;natural&quot; visualization of the data; &quot;Outlier&quot; genes forced to belong to some cluster.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2. Classification Techniques

Classification employs a set of pre-classified data (training set) to develop a model that can classify the population of records at large. Among the most used methods are distinguished:

K-Nearest Neighbors (kNN): this method is very requested by biologists for its simplicity of interpretation. The classifier searches the k nearest neighbors of an unknown sample based on a distance measure. The most common metric used in Bioinformatics is the absolute Pearson
For clinical end points and controls from breast cancer, neuroblastoma and multiple myeloma, authors in [25] generated 463,320 kNN models by varying feature ranking method, number of features, distance metric, number of neighbors, vote weighting and decision threshold. They identified factors that contribute to the MAQC-II project performance variation.

- **Support Vector Machines (SVM):** its principle is to search a hyper plane of optimal separation between two classes of sample space characteristics. This method was applied to the tumor classification from biochips. The SVM [26] or SVM combined with other techniques such as LDA [27] discriminate against non-linearly separable data and some of these approaches offer the possibility to define several classes. Other works have applied SVM with MI (Mutual Information) for the classification of colon cancer and Lymphoma [28]. But the disadvantage of this technique is to find the optimal separator border, from a set of learning in order to deal with cases where the data are not linearly separable. Another inconvenient, is the principle of a SVM is only applied to a problem with two classes. The generalization to multiple classes involves decomposition of the original problem into a set of sub binary problems between a particular class to the aggregation of all of the other classes ("one vs. all") or all classes "one versus one".

- **Decision trees (DT):** it is a method commonly used in data mining. The goal is to create a model that predicts the value of a target variable based on several input variables. The model built is in the form of a tree structure. It breaks down a dataset into smaller and smaller subsets while at the same time an associated decision tree is incrementally developed. Some authors, working on leukemia data (acute myeloid leukemia, acute lymphoblastic leukemia and chronic lymphoblastic), compared the performance of DT with the Subgroup Discovery Algorithms and SVM method [29]. According to the authors, DT gives good results. Other authors have combined a meta-heuristic called Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) with DT (C4.5) and use it for patients’ cancer data. They evaluated the performance of the proposed method (PSODT) and compare it with other algorithms of classification, such as: SOM, DT (C4.5), neural networks, SVM, and Naive Bayes. The results have shown that PSODT provides better than the others methods [30]

- **Association rule (AR):** An association rule is an expression of the form X ⊆ Y, where X and Y are sets of items. Association is usually to find frequent item set findings among large data sets. Association Rule algorithm generate rules with confidence values. A study has been done in this regard by defining three different semantics addressing different biological goals: (1) similar expression levels between genes, (2) similar variations in expression levels of genes, (3) evolution in levels of gene expression. These rules have been applied to tumors breast and integrated in database software named MeV of the TIGR environment dedicated to the interpretation of microarray data [31]. The same authors made an improvement by adding rules for building regulatory networks from gene expression data filtered based on the five quality indices: support, confidence, lift, leverage and conviction [32].

### 3.3. Tools for Analysis of Gene Expression

There are an important range of tools for the application of classification methods and gene grouping. They include implementation of the main methods of clustering (hierarchical
clustering, k-means, SOM, etc.), accompanied by various graphical representations (heat maps, three-dimensional chart) facilitating the interpretation of the obtained results. In the table 2 we present examples of (software) tools for the classification and grouping of gene expression data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software</th>
<th>URL reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weka</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/">http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAS Artificial</td>
<td><a href="http://www.sas.com/technologies/analytics/datamining/miner/">http://www.sas.com/technologies/analytics/datamining/miner/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM/SPSS Clementine</td>
<td><a href="http://www.spss.com/software/modeling/modeler-pro/">http://www.spss.com/software/modeling/modeler-pro/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVMlight</td>
<td><a href="http://svmlight.joachims.org">http://svmlight.joachims.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBSVM</td>
<td><a href="http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvmtools/">http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvmtools/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster and Treeview</td>
<td><a href="http://rana.lbl.gov/">http://rana.lbl.gov/</a> EisenSoftware.htm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://biosun1.harvard.edu/complab/dchip/">http://biosun1.harvard.edu/complab/dchip/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeV</td>
<td><a href="http://www.tm4.org/mev/">http://www.tm4.org/mev/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. FUNCTIONAL GENE EXPRESSION DATA ANALYSIS BY THE USE OF ONTOLOGIES

The role of controlled vocabularies or ontologies is to capture the biological concepts describing biological objects such as genomic sequences, genes or gene products. These concepts are derived from publications of the results of the sequencing of genomes and their annotations. Therefore, the use of bio-ontologies becomes essential to deal with the heterogeneity of data and sources. It unifies the different definitions to improve the quality of data and facilitate the sharing and exchange of data.

4.1. Biological and Bioinformatic Ontologies

The Gene Ontology (GO) project [34] aims to provide a structured vocabulary to specific biological fields for describing gene products (protein or mRNA) function in the cellular context. It includes three parallel ontologies which are increasingly used by the bioinformatics community: (i) molecular functions, (ii) biological processes and (iii) cellular components. Terms are interconnected by relationship (is a, part of, regulates, etc).
GO is considered as the essential resource for the annotation. It is thus used by many portals (RefSeq, UniProt, KEGG, PDB, TAIR, etc.). Gene Ontology Annotation [35] is a portal dedicated to the data annotation of various interest organisms by using GO. AmiGO [36] is a portal that provides access to GO, it contains many cross-references with other information systems. The Open Biomedical Ontology project [37] is designed to create reference ontologies in biology and biomedical. The platform National Center for Biomedical Ontology (NCBO) [38] develops and maintains a web application called bioportal which allows researchers to access and use biomedical ontologies.

The Sequence Ontology (SO) project [39] was initially developed by the Gene Ontology Consortium for the definition of the characteristics of sequences that should be used in the annotation. It includes databases of model organisms such as WormBase, FlyBase, Mouse Genome Informatics group, and institutes such as the Sanger Institute and EBI. Other resources such as ArrayExpress at the EBI [40], GEO at NCBI [41], for the filing of data, expression of genes also contain information on the annotation of various organisms.

### 4.2. Ontologies of the Microarray Experiments

A formal description of experiences is extremely important for the organization and execution of experiments in biology. For example, the DNA chips for Micro-array Gene Expression Data project (MGED) [42] provide terms to annotate all aspects of an experience of DNA chips of its design with the definition of hybridization, to the preparation of the biological sample and the protocols used for hybridization on the chip and the analysis of data.

The terms MGED are organized in the form of ontology. It was built for the description of biological samples and their use in microarray experiments. This description focuses on biological material (biomaterials) and some treatments used during the experiment, thus, the ontology will be used directly by users to annotate their experiences on microarrays as well as developers of software and databases through structured queries experiences [43].

### 4.3. Semantic Similarity Measures

When biological entities are described using a common ontology, they can be compared by means of their annotations. This type of comparison is called semantic similarity. Several studies have been published describing and evaluating diverse semantic similarity measures. Semantic similarity has become a valuable tool for validating the results drawn from biomedical studies such as gene clustering, gene expression data analysis, prediction and validation of molecular interaction, etc.

The adoption of ontologies for annotation provides a means to compare entities on aspects that would otherwise not be comparable. For instance, if two gene products are annotated within the same schema, they can be compared by comparing the terms with which they are annotated [44]. The Gene Ontology is the main focus of investigation of semantic similarity in molecular biology because comparing gene products at the functional level is crucial for a variety of applications.

The authors in [44] give an interesting survey of semantic similarity measures applied to biomedical ontologies and describe examples of applications to biomedical research. As outlined by the authors, this survey will clarify how biomedical researchers can benefit from semantic
similarity measures and help them choose the approach most suitable for their studies. Several semantic similarity measures have been developed for use with GO. According to the strategies they employ, we distinguish:

### 4.3.1. Measures for comparing term

- **Node-based** [45, 46]: determines the information shared by two terms. A constraint of these measures is that they look only at a single common ancestor despite the fact that GO terms can have several disjoint common ancestors.

- **Edge-based** [47, 48, 49]: use the directed graph topology to compute distances between the terms to compare.

- **Hybrid** [50, 51]: combine different aspects of node-based and edge-based methods.

### 4.3.2. Measures for comparing gene products: to assess the functional similarity between gene products:

It is necessary to compare sets of terms rather than single terms. Several strategies have been proposed, they are grouped into two categories:

- **Pairwise** [44, 52]: measure functional similarity between two gene products by combining the semantic similarities between their terms.

- **Groupwise** [44, 53]: calculates directly similarity by one of three approaches: set, graph, or vector.

An early work was to measure the information content of the terms of the Gene Ontology (GO) [54]. Then it was evaluating some similarity measures such as Resnik, Lin and Jiang which are node-based measures on these annotated terms. Then, the same authors have investigated semantic similarity measures, and their application to ontological annotations of the SWISS-PROT database. They found a correlation between the semantic similarity of GO terms and the sequence similarity of the same genes aligned by BLAST [55].

In [56] controlled vocabularies containing medical concepts such as MeSH and SNOMED-CT were evaluated by a new measure based cross-modified path length feature between the concept nodes [56]. Afterwards, measures have been developed to take into account the fact that both terms can have several disjoint common ancestors (DCA) [57].

To overcome the weaknesses of the existing Gene Ontology browsers which use a conventional approach based on keyword matching, a genetic similarity measure is introduced in [58] to find a group of semantically similar Gene Ontology terms. The proposed approach combines semantic similarity measure with parallel genetic algorithm. The semantic similarity measure is used to compute the similitude strength between the Gene Ontology terms. Then, the parallel genetic algorithm is employed to perform batch retrieval and to accelerate the search in large search space of the Gene Ontology graph.
In [59] authors have attempted to improve existing measures such as the Wu Palmer measure by adding metadata by taking into account codes of evidence (codes that specify the quality of the annotation), the types of relationships between the GO terms deriving the metabolic pathways of different organisms (regulates, positively regulates, negatively regulates) and the qualifier NOT. This measure was applied to the metabolic pathways between species: human, mouse and the chicken [59].

However, although the Gene ontology, which is the reference for describing biological objects such as genome sequence, genes or gene products, it has only a static view of these biological objects and does not allow visualization that could express these concepts in space and time. Hence a combination of data mining to group similar expression profiles (static or temporal) and ontologies as additional annotation resources is desirable for the functional analysis of genes.

4. FUNCTIONAL GENE EXPRESSION DATA ANALYSIS BY DATAMINING AND BY THE USE OF ONTOLOGIES

Generally, the data analysis of expression takes place in two main steps: (1) identification of the groups of genes co-expressed, for example, by using clustering algorithms (2) functional analysis of these groups by using a controlled vocabulary such as the Gene Ontology (GO).

The following work [60] associates the first step to the second one. A transversal approach was developed based on the parallel grouping of the genes according to the biological annotations (vocabulary Gene Ontology), medical (UMLS terminology), genomic (characteristics of sequences) and experimental results (expression data).This approach has proved to be as powerful as a classical approach functioning in two phases. Others authors have suggested an approach based on fuzzy modelisation of differential expression profiles joined with data from GO, KEGG and Pfam [61]. An improvement of this approach was added by the same author by using the Formal Concepts Analysis method in upstream to get genes that have same expression profiles and same functional 'behaviour', and in downstream, it visualizes the results by Lattice [62].

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This article outlines various methods used in functional analysis of gene expression data.

At first, data mining methods, besides their diversity, appeared like a simple and obvious solution for determining expression profiles and the grouping or classification of genes with similar behavior. However, to ensure a complete analysis, we must give an annotation and a meaning to the results. That is to say bring semantics that could be achieved through controlled vocabularies such as GO and other sources of knowledge such as UniProt, KEGG, etc. Consequently, for better representation of co-expressed genes groups and a more "relevant" integration of genomic data supporting researchers in their experiments, recent works has been realized with both approaches.

As perspective, it would be interesting to do inter-species annotation on plants such as tomato because it contains a lot of anti-oxidants which protects from the ageing and certain cancers or on Medicago truncatula for its fixation of nitrogen in the soil with some model plants like Arabidopsis thaliana. The approach which will be used is the third one which employs data mining and ontologies for functional analysis of the expression data by accessing profile data of
expression and annotation via NCBI GEO, ArrayExpress sequence databases, using the Gene Ontology (GO) and Plant Ontology (PO) which includes terms on growth and stages of development of the plant and terms on the morphological and anatomical structures (tissues and cell types) of plants. The study will be on the aspect of space-time of terms by using Gene Ontology Annotation (GOA) as a resource.
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